

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

SYLVANIUS BELL,

Plaintiff,

v.

EMPLOYMENT SECURITY DIVISION
STATE OF NEVADA, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:19-cv-01492-RFB-NJK

ORDER

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable Nancy J. Koppe, United States Magistrate Judge, entered April 3, 2020. ECF No. 18.

A district court “may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to “make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.” 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also D. Nev. Civ. R. IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct “any review,” de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by April 17, 2020. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge’s recommendations.

...

1 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 18) is
2 ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in full.

3 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that this case is DISMISSED without prejudice.

4 The Clerk of Court is directed to mail a copy of this order to Plaintiff.

5 DATED: April 22, 2020.

6
7 
8 _____
9 **RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II**
10 United States District Judge
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28